arXiv:1004.0330v2 [hep-th] 7 Oct 2010

Generalized BRST transformation in Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field theory

Sudhaker Upadhyay* and Bhabani Prasad Mandal†

Department of Physics,
Banaras Hindu University,
Varanasi-221005, INDIA.

We generalize the BRST transformations in Abelian rank-2 tensor field theory by allowing the parameter to be finite and field dependent and show that such transformations play crucial role in studying the Abelian 2-form gauge theory in noncovariant gauges. The generating functionals in different effective theories are connected through these generalized BRST transformations with different choice of finite parameter. We further consider the generalization of the anti-BRST transformations and show that even anti-BRST transformations with the finite field dependent parameter relate the generating functional for different effective theories. Such generalized BRST/anti-BRST transformations are useful in connecting generating functionals corresponding to different effective theories. Field/antifield formulation of this Abelian 2-form gauge theory is also studied in the context of generalized BRST transformations. We show that generalized BRST transformation connects the generating functional corresponding to the different solutions of quantum master equation in field/antifield formulation.

keywords: 2-form gauge theory; BRST transformation; Field/Antifield formulation.

---

* e-mail address: sudhakerupadhyay@gmail.com
† e-mail address: bhabani.mandal@gmail.com, bhabani@bhu.ac.in

I. INTRODUCTION

Gauge theories of Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field play crucial role in studying the theory for classical strings [1], vortex motion in an irrotational, incompressible fluid [2, 3] and the dual formulation of the Abelian Higgs model [4, 5]. Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor fields are also very useful in studying supergravity multiplets [6], excited states in superstring theories [7, 8] and anomaly cancellation in certain superstring theories. Geometrical aspects of Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor fields are studied in a U(1) gauge theory in loop space. The Ward-Takahashi identities are derived for such theories in a superspace formulation [9].

Covariant quantization using BRST formulation for an Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field was studied consistently by many people [10–15]. In such covariant quantization a naive gauge fixing term containing the antisymmetric tensor field is itself invariant under a secondary gauge transformation and hence further commuting ghost fields (ghost of ghosts) are required for complete gauge fixing. The usual BRST transformations are characterized by an infinitesimal, anticommuting and global parameter. These transformations in pure Yang-Mills theory have been generalized by allowing the parameter to be finite, field dependent but independent of x_μ explicitly (known as FFBRST transformations)[16]. Such a generalized BRST transformations are symmetry of the effective action and are nilpotent.

The generalized BRST transformations have found many applications in studying 1-form gauge theory [16–26]. A correct and explicit prescription for the poles in the gauge field propagators in noncovariant gauges have been derived by connecting the effective theories in covariant gauge to the theories in noncovariant gauge through FFBRST transformations [17, 24]. Hence one does not need to put a prescription for the poles by hand in the formulation using FFBRST transformations [17]. The divergent energy integrals in Coulomb gauge are regularized by modifying the time like propagator by considering the generalized BRST transformations [24]. The question of gauge invariance of the Wilson loop in the light of a new treatment of axial gauge propagator [17] are proposed based on a the FFBRST transformations [20–22]. Using the technique of generalized BRST transformations it has been shown that the classical massive Yang-Mills theory and the pure Yang-Mills theory whose gauge symmetry is broken by a gauge fixing term are identical from the view point of quantum gauge symmetry [23].

In this present work we study the quantization of the Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field by using the generalized BRST transformations. We have shown that it is possible to construct the Abelian rank-2 tensor field theory in noncovariant gauges by using generalized BRST transformations. In particular, we have shown the generating functional for Abelian rank-2 tensor field theory in covariant gauges transformed to the generating functional for the same theory in a noncovariant gauges for a particular choice of the finite parameter in generalized BRST transformations. The new results arise from the nontrivial Jacobian of the path integral measure under such finite BRST transformations. We have established the connections explicitly for the theories in two different noncovariant gauge, namely axial gauge and Coulomb gauge.

The Lagrangian quantization of Batalin and Vilkovisky [27–31], also known as the field/antifield formulation considered to be one of the most powerful and advanced technique of quantization of gauge theories involving the BRST symmetry [30, 31]. We consider the field/antifield formulation of Abelian rank-2 tensor field theory by introducing the antifield $\phi^\star$ corresponding to each field ϕ with opposite statistics to study the role of generalized BRST transformation in such formulation. We show that the FFBRST transformation changes the generating functional corresponding to one gauge fixed fermion to the generating functional to another gauge fixed fermion. Thus FFBRST transformation connects the different solutions of the master equation in field/antifield formulation. We show this by considering an explicit example.

This paper is organized in the following manner. We start with a brief discussion about Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field theory in the Sec. 2. A brief introduction of the generalized BRST transformations is given in Sec. 3. The connections between different generating functionals through generalized BRST transformations in 2-form gauge theories with appropriate examples are shown in the Sec. 4. The generalized anti-BRST transformations for such theories are considered in the Sec. 5. The field/antifield formulation of 2-form gauge theory using FFBRST transformation is mentioned in Sec. 6. Mathematical details, for section 4, are provided in Appendices. Last section is kept for discussion and concluding remarks.

II. PRELIMINARY : GAUGE THEORY OF ABELIAN RANK-2 ANTISYMMETRIC TENSOR FIELD

We consider the Abelian gauge theory for rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$ defined by the action

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{12}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu\rho}F^{\mu\nu\rho}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu\rho} \equiv \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$. This action is invariant under the gauge transformation $\delta B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \zeta_\nu - \partial_\nu \zeta_\mu$ with a vector gauge parameter $\zeta_\mu(x)$.

To quantize this theory using BRST transformation, it is necessary to introduce the following ghost and auxiliary fields: anticommuting vector fields ρ_μ and $\tilde{\rho}_\mu$, a commuting vector field β_μ, anticommuting scalar fields χ and $\tilde{\chi}$, and commuting scalar fields σ, φ, and $\tilde{\sigma}$. The BRST transformation is then defined for $B_{\mu\nu}$ by replacing ζ_μ in the gauge transformation by the ghost field ρ_μ.

The complete effective action for this theory in covariant gauge, using the BRST formulation, is given by

$$S^L_{eff} = S_0 + S_{gf} + S_{gh} \tag{2.2}$$

with the gauge fixing and ghost term

$$\begin{aligned} S_{gf} + S_{gh} &= \int d^4x \left[-i\partial_\mu \tilde{\rho}_\nu(\partial^\mu \rho^\nu - \partial^\nu \rho^\mu) + \partial_\mu \tilde{\sigma}\partial^\mu \sigma + \beta_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_1 \beta^\nu - \partial^\nu \varphi)\right. \\ &- \left. i\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu \rho^\mu - i\chi(\partial_\mu \tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2 \tilde{\chi})\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are gauge parameters. This effective action is invariant under following BRST and anti-BRST symmetries.

BRST:

$$\delta_b B_{\mu\nu} = -(\partial_\mu \rho_\nu - \partial_\nu \rho_\mu)\Lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_b \rho_\mu &= -i\partial_\mu \sigma \Lambda, & \delta_b \sigma &= 0\\
\delta_b \tilde{\rho}_\mu &= i\beta_\mu \Lambda, & \delta_b \beta_\mu &= 0\\
\delta_b \tilde{\sigma} &= -\tilde{\chi}\Lambda, & \delta_b \tilde{\chi} &= 0\\
\delta_b \varphi &= -\chi\Lambda, & \delta_b \chi &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Anti-BRST:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{ab} B_{\mu\nu} &= -(\partial_\mu \tilde{\rho}_\nu - \partial_\nu \tilde{\rho}_\mu)\Lambda\\
\delta_{ab} \tilde{\rho}_\mu &= -i\partial_\mu \tilde{\sigma}\Lambda, & \delta_{ab} \tilde{\sigma} &= 0\\
\delta_{ab} \rho_\mu &= -i\beta_\mu \Lambda, & \delta_{ab} \beta_\mu &= 0\\
\delta_{ab} \sigma &= -\chi\Lambda, & \delta_{ab} \chi &= 0\\
\delta_{ab} \varphi &= \tilde{\chi}\Lambda, & \delta_{ab} \tilde{\chi} &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where the BRST parameter Λ is global, infinitesimal and anticommuting in nature. The anti-BRST transformations are similar to the BRST transformations, where the role of ghost and antighost field is interchanged with some modification in coefficients. The generating functional for this Abelian rank 2 antisymmetric tensor field theory in a covariant gauge is defined as,

$$Z^L = \int D\phi \exp[iS^L_{eff}[\phi]] \tag{2.6}$$

where ϕ is the generic notation for all the fields $(B_{\mu\nu}, \rho_\mu, \tilde{\rho}_\mu, \beta_\mu, \varphi, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}, \chi, \tilde{\chi})$.

The BRST and anti-BRST transformations in Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) respectively leave the above generating functional invariant as, the path integral measure $D\phi \equiv DBD\rho D\tilde{\rho}D\beta D\sigma D\varphi D\tilde{\sigma}D\chi D\tilde{\chi}$ is invariant under such transformations.

III. GENERALIZED BRST IN ABELIAN RANK 2 ANTI-SYMMETRIC TENSOR FIELD

The properties of the usual BRST transformations in Eq. (2.4) do not depend on whether the parameter Λ is (i) finite or infinitesimal, (ii) field dependent or not, as long as it is anticommuting and space-time independent. These observations give us a freedom to generalize the BRST transformations by making the parameter, Λ finite and field dependent without affecting its properties. To generalized the BRST transformations we start with making the infinitesimal parameter field dependent by introducing a parameter κ $(0 \leq \kappa \leq 1)$ and making all the fields, $\phi(x,\kappa)$, κ dependent such that $\phi(x,\kappa=0)=\phi(x)$ and $\phi(x,\kappa=1)=\phi'(x)$, the transformed field.

The usual infinitesimal BRST transformations, thus can be written generically as

$$d\phi(x,\kappa) = \delta_b[\phi(x,\kappa)]\Theta'[\phi(x,\kappa)]d\kappa \tag{3.1}$$

where the $\Theta'[\phi(x,\kappa)]d\kappa$ is the infinitesimal but field dependent parameter. The generalized BRST transformations with the finite field dependent parameter then can be constructed by integrating such infinitesimal transformations from $\kappa=0$ to $\kappa=1$, to obtain

$$\phi' \equiv \phi(x,\kappa=1) = \phi(x,\kappa=0) + \delta_b[\phi(x)]\Theta[\phi(x)] \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\Theta[\phi(x)] = \int_0^1 d\kappa'\Theta'[\phi(x,\kappa')], \tag{3.3}$$

is the finite field dependent parameter.

It can easily be shown that such offshell nilpotent BRST transformations with finite field dependent parameter are symmetry of the effective action in Eq. (2.2). However, the path integral measure in Eq. (2.6) is not invariant under such transformations as the BRST parameter is finite.

The Jacobian of the path integral measure for such transformations can be evaluated for some particular choices of the finite field dependent parameter, $\Theta[\phi(x)]$, as

$$\begin{aligned} DB'D\rho'D\tilde{\rho}'D\beta'D\sigma'D\tilde{\sigma}'D\chi'D\tilde{\chi}' &= J(\kappa)DB(\kappa)D\rho(\kappa)D\tilde{\rho}(\kappa)D\beta(\kappa)D\sigma(\kappa)D\tilde{\sigma}(\kappa) \\ &\quad D\chi(\kappa)D\tilde{\chi}(\kappa). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The Jacobian, $J(\kappa)$ can be replaced (within the functional integral) as

$$J(\kappa) \rightarrow \exp[iS_1[\phi(x,\kappa)]] \tag{3.5}$$

iff the following condition is satisfied [16]

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi(x) \left[\frac{1}{J}\frac{dJ}{d\kappa} - i\frac{dS_1[\varphi(x,\kappa)]}{d\kappa}\right] \exp\left[i(S_{eff}+S_1)\right] = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

where $S_1[\phi]$ is local functional of fields.

The infinitesimal change in the $J(\kappa)$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{J}\frac{dJ}{d\kappa} = -\int d^4x \left[\pm\delta_b\phi(x,\kappa)\frac{\partial\Theta'[\phi(x,\kappa)]}{\partial\phi(x,\kappa)}\right], \tag{3.7}$$

where ± sign refers to whether ϕ is a bosonic or a fermionic field.

By choosing appropriate Θ, we can make $S_{eff} + S_1$ either another effective action for same theory or effective action for another theory. The resulting effective action also be invariant under same BRST/anti-BRST transformations.

IV. GENERALIZED BRST IN 2-FORM GAUGE THEORY : EXAMPLES

In this section we would like to show explicitly that the generalized BRST transformations can relate the different effective theories. In particular we are interested to obtain the effective theories for Abelain rank-2 tensor field in noncovariant gauges by applying generalized BRST transformations to the effective theories in covariant gauge.

A. Effective theory in axial gauge

We start with the generating functional corresponding to the effective theory in Lorentz gauge given in Eq. (2.6), where S^L_{eff} is invariant under following generalized BRST:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_b B_{\mu\nu} &= -(\partial_\mu\rho_\nu - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu)\Theta_b[\phi] \\
\delta_b\rho_\mu &= -i\partial_\mu\sigma\Theta_b[\phi], & \delta_b\sigma &= 0 \\
\delta_b\tilde{\rho}_\mu &= i\beta_\mu\Theta_b[\phi], & \delta_b\beta_\mu &= 0 \\
\delta_b\tilde{\sigma} &= -\tilde{\chi}\Theta_b[\phi], & \delta_b\tilde{\chi} &= 0 \\
\delta_b\varphi &= -\chi\Theta_b[\phi], & \delta_b\chi &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where Θ_b is a finite, anticommuting BRST parameter depends on the fields in global manner. To obtain the effective theories in axial gauge we choose the finite parameter as

$$\Theta'_b = \int d^4x\,[\gamma_1\tilde{\rho}_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\nu\varphi - \eta^\nu\varphi) + \gamma_2\lambda_1\tilde{\rho}_\nu\beta^\nu$$

$$+ \ \gamma_1\tilde{\sigma}(\partial_\mu\rho^\mu - \eta_\mu\rho^\mu) + \gamma_2\lambda_2\tilde{\sigma}\chi] \tag{4.2}$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are arbitrary parameters (depend on κ) and η_μ is arbitrary constant four vector. Now we apply these generalized BRST transformations to the generating functional Z^L given in Eq. (2.6). The path integral measure is not invariant and give rise a nontrivial functional $e^{iS_1^A}$ (explicitly shown in Appendix A), where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^A &= \int d^4x[-\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \beta_\nu\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_2\lambda_1\beta_\nu\beta^\nu - i\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) \\ &+ \ i\tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) + i\gamma_2\lambda_2\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu - i\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu \\ &+ \ \tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma - \tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma - \partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + \eta_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + i\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu \\ &- \ i\chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Now, adding this S_1^A to S_{eff}^L, we get

$$S_{eff}^L + S_1^A \equiv S_{eff}^A, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}^A &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{12}F_{\mu\nu\rho}F^{\mu\nu\rho} + i\tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) - \tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma + \beta_\nu(\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu}\right. \\ &+ \ \lambda_1'\beta^\nu + \eta^\nu\varphi) - i\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu - i\chi(\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2'\tilde{\chi})] \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

is the effective action in axial gauge with new gauge parameters $\lambda_1' = (1+\gamma_2)\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2' = (1+\gamma_2)\lambda_2$. Thus, the generalized BRST transformations with the finite parameter given in Eq. (4.1) take

$$Z^L\left(= \int D\phi e^{iS_{eff}^L}\right) \xrightarrow[------]{Generalized\ BRST} Z^A\left(= \int D\phi e^{iS_{eff}^A}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

The effective theory of Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric field in axial gauge is convenient in many different situations. The generating functional in axial gauge with a suitable axis is same as the generating functional obtained by using Zwanziger's formulation for electric and magnetic charges [33, 34]. Using the FFBRST transformations with the parameter given in Eq. (4.2) we have linked generating functionals in covariant and noncovariant gauges.

B. Effective theory in Coulomb gauge

The generating functional for the effective theories in Coulomb gauge can be obtained by using the generalized BRST transformations with a different parameter

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta'_b &= \int d^4x \left[\gamma_1 \tilde{\rho}_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \partial_i B^{i\nu} - \partial^\nu \varphi) + \gamma_1 \tilde{\rho}_i \partial^i \varphi + \gamma_2 \lambda_1 \tilde{\rho}_\nu \beta^\nu \right.\\ &+ \left. \gamma_1 \tilde{\sigma}(\partial_\mu \rho^\mu - \partial_i \rho^i) + \gamma_2 \lambda_2 \tilde{\sigma} \chi \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The effective action in Lorentz gauge, S^L_{eff} as given in Eq. (2.2) is invariant under generalized BRST transformations in Eq. (4.1) corresponding to above mentioned finite parameter. Now we consider the effect of this generalized BRST transformations on the generating functional in Lorentz gauge.

In Appendix B, it has been shown that the Jacobian for the path integral measure corresponding to this generalized BRST transformations can be replaced by $e^{iS_1^C}$, where S_1^C is the local functional of fields calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}S_1^C &= \int d^4x \left[-\beta_\nu \partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \beta_\nu \partial_i B^{i\nu} + \gamma_2 \lambda_1 \beta_\nu \beta^\nu - i\tilde{\rho}_\nu \partial_\mu(\partial^\mu \rho^\nu - \partial^\nu \rho^\mu) \right.\\ &+ i\tilde{\rho}_\nu \partial_i(\partial^i \rho^\nu - \partial^\nu \rho^i) + i\gamma_2 \lambda_2 \chi \tilde{\chi} + i\tilde{\chi} \partial_\mu \rho^\mu - i\tilde{\chi} \partial_i \rho^i \\ &+ \tilde{\sigma} \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \sigma - \tilde{\sigma} \partial_i \partial^i \sigma - \partial_\mu \beta^\mu \varphi + \partial_i \beta^i \varphi + i\chi \partial_\mu \tilde{\rho}^\mu \\ &- \left. i\chi \partial_i \tilde{\rho}^i \right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and this extra piece of the action can be added to the effective action in covariant gauge to lead a new effective action

$$\begin{aligned}S^L_{eff} + S_1^C &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{12} F_{\mu\nu\rho} F^{\mu\nu\rho} + i\tilde{\rho}_\nu \partial_i(\partial^i \rho^\nu - \partial^\nu \rho^i) - \tilde{\sigma} \partial_i \partial^i \sigma + \beta_\nu(\partial_i B^{i\nu} \right.\\ &+ \left. \lambda'_1 \beta^\nu) - \beta_i \partial^i \varphi - i\tilde{\chi} \partial_i \rho^i - i\chi(\partial_i \tilde{\rho}^i - \lambda'_2 \tilde{\chi}) \right] \\ &\equiv S^C_{eff}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

which is an effective action in Coulomb gauge for Abelian rank 2 tensor field. Thus we can study the Abelian 2-form gauge theory in Coulomb gauge more rigorously through its connection with Lorentz gauge via finite BRST transformations.

V. GENERALIZED ANTI-BRST TRANSFORMATION IN 2-FORM GAUGE THEORIES

In this section, we consider the generalization of anti-BRST transformations following the similar method as discussed in section 4 and show it plays exactly similar role in connecting the generating functionals in different effective theories of Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field.

A. Axial gauge theory using generalized anti-BRST

For sake of convenience we recast the effective action in covariant gauge given in Eq. (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} S^L_{eff} &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{12}F_{\mu\nu\rho}F^{\mu\nu\rho} + i\partial_\mu\rho_\nu(\partial^\mu\tilde{\rho}^\nu - \partial^\nu\tilde{\rho}^\mu) + \partial_\mu\sigma\partial^\mu\tilde{\sigma} + \beta_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_1\beta^\nu - \partial^\nu\varphi)\right. \\ &- \left. i\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - i\tilde{\chi}(\partial_\mu\rho^\mu + \lambda_2\chi)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

which is invariant under following generalized anti-BRST transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ab}B_{\mu\nu} &= -(\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}_\nu - \partial_\nu\tilde{\rho}_\mu)\Theta_{ab}[\phi] \\ \delta_{ab}\tilde{\rho}_\mu &= -i\partial_\mu\tilde{\sigma}\Theta_{ab}[\phi], \qquad \delta_{ab}\tilde{\sigma} = 0 \\ \delta_{ab}\rho_\mu &= -i\beta_\mu\Theta_{ab}[\phi], \qquad \delta_{ab}\beta_\mu = 0 \\ \delta_{ab}\sigma &= -\chi\Theta_{ab}[\phi], \qquad \delta_{ab}\chi = 0 \\ \delta_{ab}\varphi &= \tilde{\chi}\Theta_{ab}[\phi], \qquad \delta_{ab}\tilde{\chi} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where Θ_{ab} is finite field dependent anti-BRST parameter. To obtain the generating functional in axial gauge using finite field dependent anti-BRST (FF anti-BRST) transformations we choose,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'_{ab} &= -\int d^4x \left[\gamma_1\rho_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\nu\varphi - \eta^\nu\varphi) + \gamma_2\lambda_1\rho_\nu\beta^\nu\right. \\ &- \left.\gamma_1\sigma(\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu) + \gamma_2\lambda_2\sigma\tilde{\chi}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

This parameter is similar to Θ'_b in Eq. (4.2) except the (anti)ghost and ghost of (anti)ghost fields are replaced by their antighost fields respectively.

Similar to FFBRST case, these finite field dependent anti-BRST transformations change the Jacobian in the path integral measure by a factor $e^{iS_1^A}$, where S_1^A is a local functional of fields and given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^A &= \int d^4x[-\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \beta_\nu\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_2\lambda_1\beta_\nu\beta^\nu + i\rho_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\tilde{\rho}^\nu - \partial^\nu\tilde{\rho}^\mu) \\
&- i\rho_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\tilde{\rho}^\nu - \partial^\nu\tilde{\rho}^\mu) + i\gamma_2\lambda_2\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - i\chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu \\
&+ \sigma\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\tilde{\sigma} - \sigma\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\tilde{\sigma} - \partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + \eta_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + i\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu \\
&- i\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
S^L + S_1^A &= \int d^4x\left[\frac{1}{12}F_{\mu\nu\rho}F^{\mu\nu\rho} - i\rho_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\tilde{\rho}^\nu - \partial^\nu\tilde{\rho}^\mu) - \sigma\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\tilde{\sigma} + \beta_\nu(\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu}\right. \\
&+ \left.\lambda_1'\beta^\nu + \eta^\nu\varphi) - i\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu - i\chi(\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2'\tilde{\chi})\right] \\
&\equiv S^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

which is the action in axial gauge in 2-form gauge theory. Thus, the generalized anti-BRST transformations with the finite parameter given in Eq. (5.2) take

$$Z^L\left(=\int D\phi e^{iS_{eff}^L}\right) \xrightarrow{Generalized\ anti-BRST} Z^A\left(=\int D\phi e^{iS_{eff}^A}\right), \tag{5.6}$$

which shows the FF-antiBRST transformations play a similar role as FFBRST transformations in 2-form gauge theroy.

VI. FIELD/ANTIFIELD FORMULATION USING FFBRST TRANSFORMATION IN ABELIAN RANK-2 ANTISYMMETRIC TENSOR FIELD THEORY

In this section we construct field/antifield formulation for Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field theory to show that techniques of FFBRST formulation can also be applied in this modern approach of quantum field theory. For this purpose we express the generating functional in Eq. (2.6) in field/antifield formulation by introducing antifield

$\phi^\star$ corresponding to each field ϕ with opposite statistics as,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^L &= \int [dBd\rho d\tilde{\rho}d\sigma d\tilde{\sigma}d\varphi d\chi d\tilde{\chi}d\beta] \exp\left[i\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{12}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - B^{\mu\nu\star}\left(\partial_\mu\rho_\nu - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu\right)\right.\right. \\ &- i\ \rho^{\mu\star}\partial_\mu\sigma + i\tilde{\rho}^{\nu\star}\beta_\nu - \tilde{\sigma}^\star\tilde{\chi} - \varphi^\star\chi\}]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

This can be written in compact form as

$$Z^L = \int D\phi \exp\left[iW_{\Psi^L}(\phi,\phi^\star)\right], \tag{6.2}$$

where $W_{\Psi^L}(\phi,\phi^\star)$ is an extended action for 2-form gauge theory in Lorentz gauge corresponding the gauge fixed fermion Ψ^L having grassman parity 1 and ghost number -1. The expression for Ψ^L is

$$\Psi^L = -i\int d^4x\left[\tilde{\rho}_\nu\left(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_1\beta^\nu\right) + \tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu + \varphi\left(\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2\tilde{\chi}\right)\right]. \tag{6.3}$$

The generating functional Z^L does not depend on the choice of gauge fixed fermion. This extended quantum action, $W_{\Psi^L}(\phi,\phi^\star)$ satisfies certain rich mathematical relation called quantum master equation [31], given by

$$\Delta e^{iW_\Psi[\phi,\phi^\star]} = 0 \ \text{ with }\ \Delta \equiv \frac{\partial_r}{\partial\phi}\frac{\partial_r}{\partial\phi^\star}(-1)^{\epsilon+1}. \tag{6.4}$$

The antifields $\phi^\star$ corresponding to each field ϕ for this particular theory can be obtained from the gauge fixed fermion as

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\mu\nu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}} = i\partial^\mu\tilde{\rho}^\nu, & \tilde{\rho}^{\nu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\tilde{\rho}_\nu} = -i(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_1\beta^\nu - \partial^\nu\varphi) \\ \rho^{\mu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\rho_\mu} = i\partial^\mu\tilde{\sigma}, & \tilde{\sigma}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\tilde{\sigma}} = -i\partial_\mu\rho^\mu \\ \sigma^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\sigma} = 0, & \beta^{\nu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\beta_\nu} = -i\lambda_1\tilde{\rho}^\nu \\ \varphi^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\varphi} = -i(\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2\tilde{\chi}), & \tilde{\chi}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\tilde{\chi}} = i\lambda_2\varphi \\ \chi^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^L}{\delta\chi} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Now we apply the FFBRST transformation with the finite parameter given in Eq. (4.2) to this generating functional in Lorentz gauge. But the path integral measure is not invariant under such a finite transformation and give rise to a factor which can be written as e^{iS_1}, where the functional S_1 is calculated in Appendix A and also given in Eq. (4.3). The transformed generating functional

$$\begin{aligned} Z' &= \int D\phi \exp[i\{W_{\Psi^L} + S_1\}], \\ &= \int D\phi \exp[iW_{\Psi^A}] \equiv Z^A \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

The generating functional in axial gauge

$$\begin{aligned} Z^A &= \int [dBd\rho d\tilde{\rho}d\sigma d\tilde{\sigma}d\varphi d\chi d\tilde{\chi}d\beta] \exp\left[i\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{12}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \bar{B}^{\mu\nu\star}\left(\partial_\mu\rho_\nu - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu\right)\right.\right. \\ &- \left.\left. i\ \bar{\rho}^{\mu\star}\partial_\mu\sigma + i\bar{\tilde{\rho}}^{\nu\star}\beta_\nu - \bar{\tilde{\sigma}}^\star\tilde{\chi} - \bar{\varphi}^\star\chi\right\}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

The extended action W_{Ψ^A} for 2-form gauge theory in axial gauge also satisfies the quantum master equation (6.4). The gauge fixed fermion for axial gauge

$$\Psi^A = -i\int d^4x \left[\tilde{\rho}_\nu\left(\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_1\beta^\nu\right) + \tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu + \varphi\left(\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2\tilde{\chi}\right)\right]. \tag{6.8}$$

and corresponding antifields are

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}^{\mu\nu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}} = -i\eta^\mu\tilde{\rho}^\nu, & \bar{\tilde{\rho}}^{\nu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\tilde{\rho}_\nu} = -i(\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_1'\beta^\nu + \eta^\nu\varphi) \\ \bar{\rho}^{\mu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\rho_\mu} = -i\eta^\mu\tilde{\sigma}, & \bar{\tilde{\sigma}}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\tilde{\sigma}} = -i\eta_\mu\rho^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\sigma} = 0, & \bar{\beta}^{\nu\star} &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\beta_\nu} = -i\lambda_1'\tilde{\rho}^\nu \\ \bar{\varphi}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\varphi} = -i(\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu - \lambda_2'\tilde{\chi}), & \bar{\tilde{\chi}}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\tilde{\chi}} = i\lambda_2'\varphi \\ \bar{\chi}^\star &= \frac{\delta\psi^A}{\delta\chi} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Thus the FFBRST transformation with finite parameter given in Eq. (4.2) relates the different solutions of quantum master equation in field/antifield formulation.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The usual BRST transformations have been generalized for the Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field theory by making the parameter finite and field dependent. Such generalized BRST transformations are nilpotent and leave the effective action invariant. However, being finite in nature such transformations do not leave the path integral measure invariant. We have shown that for certain choices of finite field dependent parameter, the Jacobian for the path integral of such generalized BRST transformations always can be written as e^{iS_1}, where S_1 is some local functional of fields and depends on the choice of the finite BRST parameter. S_1 can be added with S^L_{eff} to produce the new effective action. Thus the generating functional corresponding to one effective theory is then linked to the generating functional corresponding to another effective theory through the generalized BRST transformations. In this present work we have shown that the generating functional corresponding to covariant gauge viz. Lorentz gauge is connected to the generating functional in noncovariant gauges viz. axial gauge and Coulomb gauge. Thus the generalized BRST transformations are helpful in the study of Abelian rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field theory in noncovariant gauges, which is very useful in certain situation [34]. We further consider the generalization of anti-BRST transformations and show that even generalized anti-BRST transformations can connect generating functionals for different effective theories. The generalized BRST transformations can also be very useful in modern approach of quantum field theory, namely field/antifield formulation. With the help of an explicit example we have shown that the different solutions of the master equation are related through generalized BRST transformations in the field/antifield formulation of Abelian 2-form antisymmetric tensor field theory.

Appendix A: FFBRST in Axial gauge

Under the generalized BRST transformations with finite parameter given in Eq. (4.2), the path integral measure for the generating functional in Eq. (2.6) transforms as

$$\int D\phi' = \int D\phi \; J(\kappa), \tag{A1}$$

$J(\kappa)$ can be replaced by $e^{iS_1^A}$ if the condition in Eq. (3.6) satisfies. We start with an ansatz for S_1^A to connect the theory in Lorentz to axial gauge as

$$S_1^A = \int d^4x \, [\xi_1 \beta_\nu \partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \xi_2 \beta_\nu \eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \xi_3 \beta_\nu \beta^\nu + i\xi_4 \tilde{\rho}_\nu \partial_\mu (\partial^\mu \rho^\nu - \partial^\nu \rho^\mu)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ i\xi_5\tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) + i\xi_6\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\xi_7\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu + i\xi_8\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu \\
&+\ \xi_9\tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma + \xi_{10}\tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma + \xi_{11}\partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + \xi_{12}\eta_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi \\
&+\ i\,\xi_{13}\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu + i\xi_{14}\chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu]
\end{aligned}
\tag{A2}
$$

where $\xi_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 14)$ are explicit κ dependent parameters to be determined by using Eq. (3.6). The infinitesimal change in Jacobian, using Eq. (3.7) with finite parameter in Eq. (4.2), is calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{J}\frac{dJ}{d\kappa} = -\int d^4x\ &[-i\gamma_1\beta_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu}) + \gamma_1\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) \\
-&\ \ \gamma_1\tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) - i\gamma_2\lambda_1\beta_\nu\beta^\nu - \gamma_1\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu \\
+&\ \ \gamma_1\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu + \gamma_2\lambda_2\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\gamma_1\tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma - i\gamma_1\tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma \\
+&\ \ i\gamma_1\beta_\mu(\partial^\mu\varphi + \eta^\mu\varphi) - \gamma_1\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu + \gamma_1\chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu]\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A3}
$$

The condition (3.6) will be satisfied iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int d^4x\ \ e^{i(S_{eff}+S_1^A)}\,[i\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu}(\xi_1' - \gamma_1) + i\beta_\nu\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu}(\xi_2' + \gamma_1) + i\beta_\nu\beta^\nu(\xi_3' - \gamma_2\lambda_1) \\
&-\ \ \tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu)(\xi_4' - \gamma_1) - \tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu)(\xi_5' + \gamma_1) - \chi\tilde{\chi}(\xi_6' - \gamma_2\lambda_2) \\
&-\ \ \tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu(\xi_7' + \gamma_1) - \tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu(\xi_8' - \gamma_1) + i\tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma(\xi_9' + \gamma_1) \\
&+\ \ \tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma(\xi_{10}' - \gamma_1) + i\partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi(\xi_{11}' - \gamma_1) + i\eta_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi(\xi_{12}' + \gamma_1) \\
&-\ \ \chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu(\xi_{13}' + \gamma_1) - \chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu(\xi_{14}' - \gamma_1) + i\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu)\Theta_b'[\beta_\nu(\xi_4 - \xi_1)] \\
&+\ \ i\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu)\Theta_b'[\beta_\nu(\xi_5 - \xi_2)] - i\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma\Theta_b'[\tilde{\chi}(\xi_7 - \xi_9)] \\
&-\ \ i\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma\Theta_b'[\tilde{\chi}(\xi_8 - \xi_{10})] - i\partial^\mu\chi\Theta_b'[\beta_\mu(\xi_{11} + \xi_{13})] \\
&-\ \ i\eta^\mu\chi\Theta_b'[\beta_\mu(\xi_{12} + \xi_{14})]] = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{A4}
$$

The contribution of antighost and ghost of antighost can possibly vanish by using the equations of motion of the $\tilde{\rho}_\mu$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$. It will happen if the ratio of the coefficient of terms in the above equation and the similar terms in $S_{eff}^L + S_1^A$ is identical [19]. This requires that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\xi_4' - \gamma_1}{\xi_4 + 1} &= \frac{\xi_5' + \gamma_1}{\xi_5} \\
\frac{\xi_9' + \gamma_1}{\xi_9 - 1} &= \frac{\xi_{10}' - \gamma_1}{\xi_{10}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A5}
$$

The Θ' dependent terms can be converted into local terms by the equation of motion of different fields. This can only work if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\xi_4-\xi_1}{\xi_4+1} &= \frac{\xi_5-\xi_2}{\xi_5}\\ \frac{\xi_7-\xi_9}{\xi_9-1} &= \frac{\xi_8-\xi_{10}}{\xi_{10}}\\ \frac{\xi_{11}+\xi_{13}}{\xi_{13}-1} &= \frac{\xi_{12}+\xi_{14}}{\xi_{14}}\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

Further by comparing the coefficients of different terms $i\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu}$, $i\beta_\nu\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu}$, $i\beta_\nu\beta^\nu$, $\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^\mu)$, $\tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^\mu)$, $\chi\tilde{\chi}$, $\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu$, $\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu$, $i\tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma$, $i\tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma$, $i\partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi$, $i\eta_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi$, $\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu$ and $\chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu$ in both sides of Eq. (A4), we obtain the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi_1'-\gamma_1+\gamma_1(\xi_4-\xi_1)+\gamma_1(\xi_5-\xi_2)=0\\
&\xi_2'+\gamma_1-\gamma_1(\xi_4-\xi_1)-\gamma_1(\xi_5-\xi_2)=0\\
&\xi_3'-\gamma_2\lambda_1+\gamma_2\lambda_1(\xi_4-\xi_1)+\gamma_2\lambda_1(\xi_5-\xi_2)=0\\
&\xi_4'-\gamma_1=0\\
&\xi_5'+\gamma_1=0\\
&\xi_6'-\gamma_2\lambda_2-\gamma_2\lambda_2(\xi_7-\xi_9)-\gamma_2\lambda_2(\xi_8-\xi_{10})=0\\
&\xi_7'+\gamma_1-\gamma_1(\xi_7-\xi_9)-\gamma_1(\xi_8-\xi_{10})=0\\
&\xi_8'-\gamma_1+\gamma_1(\xi_7-\xi_9)+\gamma_1(\xi_8-\xi_{10})=0\\
&\xi_9'+\gamma_1=0\\
&\xi_{10}'-\gamma_1=0\\
&\xi_{11}'-\gamma_1-\gamma_1(\xi_{11}+\xi_{13})-\gamma_1(\xi_{12}+\xi_{14})=0\\
&\xi_{12}'+\gamma_1+\gamma_1(\xi_{11}+\xi_{13})+\gamma_1(\xi_{12}+\xi_{14})=0\\
&\xi_{13}'+\gamma_1=0\\
&\xi_{14}'-\gamma_1=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

A particular solution of the above differential equations subjected to the conditions in Eqs. (A5) and (A6) with initial condition $\xi_i(\kappa=0)=0$ can be written as

$$\xi_1 = -\kappa, \qquad \xi_2=\kappa$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_3 &= \gamma_2\lambda_1\kappa, \quad \xi_4 = -\kappa \\
\xi_5 &= \kappa, \qquad \xi_6 = \gamma_2\lambda_2\kappa \\
\xi_7 &= \kappa, \qquad \xi_8 = -\kappa \\
\xi_9 &= \kappa, \qquad \xi_{10} = -\kappa \\
\xi_{11} &= -\kappa, \qquad \xi_{12} = \kappa \\
\xi_{13} &= \kappa, \qquad \xi_{14} = -\kappa,
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

where we have chosen the arbitrary parameter $\gamma_1 = -1$. Putting the values of ξ_i in Eq. (A2) we obtain S_1^A at $\kappa = 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^A &= \int d^4x \,[-\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \beta_\nu\eta_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_2\lambda_1\beta_\nu\beta^\nu - i\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) \\
&+ i\tilde{\rho}_\nu\eta_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) + i\gamma_2\lambda_2\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu - i\tilde{\chi}\eta_\mu\rho^\mu \\
&+ \tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma - \tilde{\sigma}\eta_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma - \partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + \eta_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + i\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu \\
&- i\chi\eta_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

Appendix B: FFBRST in Coulomb gauge

For the finite parameter given in Eq. (4.7) we make following ansatz for S_1^C

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^C &= \int d^4x \Big[\xi_1\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \xi_2\beta_\nu\partial_i B^{i\nu} + \xi_3\beta_\nu\beta^\nu + i\xi_4\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) \\
&+ i\xi_5\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_i(\partial^i\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^i) + i\xi_6\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\xi_7\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu + i\xi_8\tilde{\chi}\partial_i\rho^i \\
&+ \xi_9\tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma + \xi_{10}\tilde{\sigma}\partial_i\partial^i\sigma + \xi_{11}\partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi + \xi_{12}\partial_i\beta^i\varphi \\
&+ i\xi_{13}\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu + i\xi_{14}\chi\partial_i\tilde{\rho}^i\Big]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

The infinitesimal change in Jacobian for Coulomb gauge is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{J}\frac{dJ}{d\kappa} = -\int d^4x \;\Big[&-i\gamma_1\beta_\nu(\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} - \partial_i B^{i\nu}) + \gamma_1\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^\mu) \\
&- \gamma_1\tilde{\rho}_\nu\partial_i(\partial^i\rho^\nu - \partial^\nu\rho^i) - i\gamma_2\lambda_1\beta_\nu\beta^\nu - \gamma_1\tilde{\chi}\partial_\mu\rho^\mu \\
&+ \gamma_1\tilde{\chi}\partial_i\rho^i + \gamma_2\lambda_2\chi\tilde{\chi} + i\gamma_1\tilde{\sigma}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma - i\gamma_1\tilde{\sigma}\partial_i\partial^i\sigma \\
&+ i\gamma_1\beta_\mu\partial^\mu\varphi - i\gamma_1\beta_i\partial^i\varphi - \gamma_1\chi\partial_\mu\tilde{\rho}^\mu + \gamma_1\chi\partial_i\tilde{\rho}^i\Big]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

The condition will be satisfied iff

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x\ e^{i(S_{eff}+S_1^C)} & \Big[i\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu}(\xi_1'-\gamma_1)+i\beta_\nu\partial_i B^{i\nu}(\xi_2'+\gamma_1)+i\beta_\nu\beta^\nu(\xi_3'-\gamma_2\lambda_1) \\ - & \ \tilde\rho_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^\mu)(\xi_4'-\gamma_1)-\tilde\rho_\nu\partial_i(\partial^i\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^i)(\xi_5'+\gamma_1)-\chi\tilde\chi(\xi_6'-\gamma_2\lambda_2) \\ - & \ \tilde\chi\partial_\mu\rho^\mu(\xi_7'+\gamma_1)-\tilde\chi\partial_i\rho^i(\xi_8'-\gamma_1)+i\tilde\sigma\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma(\xi_9'+\gamma_1) \\ + & \ \tilde\sigma\partial_i\partial^i\sigma(\xi_{10}'-\gamma_1)+i\partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi(\xi_{11}'-\gamma_1)+i\partial_i\beta^i\varphi(\xi_{12}'+\gamma_1) \\ - & \ \chi\partial_\mu\tilde\rho^\mu(\xi_{13}'+\gamma_1)-\chi\partial_i\tilde\rho^i(\xi_{14}'-\gamma_1)+i\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^\mu)\Theta_b'[\beta_\nu(\xi_4-\xi_1)] \\ + & \ i\partial_i(\partial^i\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^i)\Theta_b'[\beta_\nu(\xi_5-\xi_2)]-i\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma\Theta_b'[\tilde\chi(\xi_7-\xi_9)] \\ - & \ i\partial_i\partial^i\sigma\Theta_b'[\tilde\chi(\xi_8-\xi_{10})]-\partial^\mu\chi\Theta_b'[\beta_\mu(\xi_{11}+\xi_{13})] \\ - & \ i\partial^i\chi\Theta_b'[\beta_i(\xi_{12}+\xi_{14})]\Big]=0. \end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

Following the method exactly similar to Appendix A, we obtain the solution for the parameters ξ_i which is exactly same as in Eq. (A8).

Thus we obtain S_1^C at $\kappa = 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^C &= \int d^4x \Big[-\beta_\nu\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu}+\beta_\nu\partial_i B^{i\nu}+\gamma_2\lambda_1\beta_\nu\beta^\nu-i\tilde\rho_\nu\partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^\mu) \\ &+ \ i\tilde\rho_\nu\partial_i(\partial^i\rho^\nu-\partial^\nu\rho^i)+i\gamma_2\lambda_2\chi\tilde\chi+i\tilde\chi\partial_\mu\rho^\mu-i\tilde\chi\partial_i\rho^i \\ &+ \ \tilde\sigma\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma-\tilde\sigma\partial_i\partial^i\sigma-\partial_\mu\beta^\mu\varphi+\partial_i\beta^i\varphi+i\chi\partial_\mu\tilde\rho^\mu \\ &- \ i\chi\partial_i\tilde\rho^i\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

Acknowledgments

We thankfully acknowledge the financial support from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, under the SERC project sanction grant No. SR/S2/HEP-29/2007.

---

[1] M. Kalb and P. Ramond, *Phys. Rev.* **D 9**, 2273 (1974).

[2] F. Lund and T. Regge, *Phys. Rev.* **D 14**, 1524 (1976).

[3] M. Sato and S. Yahikozawa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 436**, 100 (1995).

[4] A. Sugamoto, *Phys. Rev.* **D 19**, 1820 (1979).

[5] R. L. Davis and E. P. S. Shellard, *Phys. Lett.*, **B 214**, 219 (1988).

[6] A. Salam and E. Sezgin, *Supergravities in Diverse Dimensions* (North-Hplland and World Scientific, 1989).

[7] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987).

[8] J. Polchinski, *String Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998).

[9] S. Deguchi and B. P. Mandal, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 15**, 965 (2000).

[10] P. K. Townsend, *Phys. Lett.* **B 88**, 97 (1979).

[11] T. Kimura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64**, 357 (1980).

[12] H. Hata, T. Kugo and N. Ohta, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 1178**, 527 (1981).

[13] J. Thierry-Mieg and L. Baulieu, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 228**, 259 (1983).

[14] R. K. Kaul, *Phys. Rev.* **D 18**, 1127 (1978).

[15] V. O. Rivelles and L. Sandoval Jr., *Rev. Bras. Fis.* **21**, 374 (1991).

[16] S. D. Joglekar and B. P. Mandal, *Phys. Rev.* **D 51**, 1919 (1995).

[17] S. D. Joglekar and A. Misra, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 15**, 1453 (2000).

[18] S. D. Joglekar and A. Misra, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 14**, 2083 (1999).

[19] R. S. Bandhu and S. D. Joglekar, *J. Phys.* **A 31**, 4217 (1998).

[20] S. D. Joglekar and A. Misra, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 15**, 541 (2000).

[21] S. D. Joglekar and A. Misra, *J. Math. Phys* **41**, 1755 (2000).

[22] S. D. Joglekar and B. P. Mandal, *Phys. Rev.* **D 55**, 5038 (1997).

[23] R. Banerjee and B. P. Mandal, *Phys. Lett.* **B 27**, 488 (2000).

[24] S. D. Joglekar and B. P. Mandal, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 17**, 1279 (2002).

[25] S. K. Rai and B. P. Mandal, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 63**, 323 (2009).

[26] B. P. Mandal, S. K. Rai and S. Upadhyay, *arXiv*:**1009.5859** [hep-th] *to appear in Eur. Phys. Letters.*

[27] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Phys. Lett.* **B 102**, 27 (1981).

[28] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Phys. Rev.* **D 28**,2567 (1983); Erratum ibid **D 30**, 508 (1984).

[29] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Phys. Lett.* **B 120** 166 (1983).

[30] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of gauge systems* (Princeton, USA: Univ. Press, 1992).

[31] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields, Vol-II: Modern applications* (Cambridge, UK Univ. Press, 1996).

[32] S. Upadhyay, S. K. Rai and B. P. Mandal, *arXiv*:**1002.1373** [hep-th].

[33] D. Zwanziger, *Phys. Rev.* **D 3**, 880 (1971).

[34] S. Deguchi, Y. Kokubo, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 17**, 503 (2002).